IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 955 of 2005

Between:

Shankaraiah, S/o.Late Pakiriah,

Aged 60 years,

R/o.D.No.14-9-804 to 805,

Chundi Bazar, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. Transmission Corporation of A.P.Limited,

(A.P.TRANSCO)Rep. by its Chief Managing Director,

Vidyut Soudha, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.

2. The Assistant Divisional Engineer,

Distribution-25, City-IX, The Central Distribution,

Company of A.P.Ltd., Begum Bazar,

Hyderabad.

3. The Inspector of Police,

A.P.T.S.Team – I,

Vidyut Soudha,

Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the provisional assessment notice in letter No.ADE/KOP/DIST-xxv/city –IX/F.No.225/D.No.1033/2004-05, dated 27-12-2004 of the 2nd respondent as highly illegal, arbitrary, unjust and contrary to Section 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and consequently set aside the same.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.D.V.NAGARJUNA BABU

Counsel for the Respondents: MR V.AJAY KUMAR

The Court at the admission stage made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioner was issued an order of provisional assessment, dated 27.12.2004 indicating that the inspection conducted on the service connection of the petitioner revealed that there was pilferage of power valued at Rs.1,02,368/-. The petitioner was required to pay the entire amount to ensure continuance of power supply. Petitioner feels aggrieved by the same.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner was served with an order of provisional assessment and further steps are required to be taken in accordance with Section 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003. The Section does not provide for payment of any amount covered by the order of provisional assessment. It is only after an order of final assessment is passed that the actual liability of the same would arise. In W.A.Nos.452 and 477 of 2004, a Division Bench of this Court through its order, dated 11.03.2004 held that a consumer can not be required to make any payment on the strength of an order of provisional assessment.

Following the same, the writ petition is allowed and it is directed that the power supply to the petitioner shall be continued/restored, as the case may be, without insisting on payment of any amount on the strength of the order of provisional assessment. He shall be liable to pay the amount only after an order of final assessment is passed. It is further directed that the continuance of power supply to

the petitioner shall be subject to payment of the consumption charges by the petitioner. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

28.01.2005

Jsu

To

1. The Chief Managing Director,

Transmission Corporation of A.P.Limited,

Vidyut Soudha, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.

2. The Assistant Divisional Engineer,

Distribution-25, City-IX, The Central Distribution,

Company of A.P.Ltd., Begum Bazar,

Hyderabad.

3. The Inspector of Police,

A.P.T.S.Team – I,

Vidyut Soudha,

Hyderabad.

4 2CD copies